arXiv:1410.0739v1 [math.PR] 3 Oct 2014

SHARP MOMENT ESTIMATES FOR POLYNOMIAL MARTINGALES

E. Ostrovsky, L.Sirota.

Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan, 59200,Israel.
e-mail: eugostrovsky@list.ru

Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan, 59200,Israel.
e-mail: sirota3@bezeqint.net

Abstract.

In this paper non-asymptotic moment estimates are derived for tail of distribution for discrete time polynomial martingale by means of martingale differences as a rule in the terms of *unconditional* and *unconditional relative* moments and tails of distributions of summands.

We show also the exactness of obtained estimations.

Key words: Random variables and vectors, Iensen, Osekowski, Rosenthal and triangle inequalities, recursion, martingales, martingale differences, regular varying function, Lebesgue-Riesz and Grand Lebesgue norm and spaces, lower and upper estimates, moments and relative moments, quadratic characteristic of martingale, filtration, examples, natural norming, tails of distribution, conditional expectation.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2002): primary 60G17; secondary 60E07; 60G70.

1 Introduction. Notations. Statement of problem. Announce.

Let $(\Omega, F, \mathbf{P})$ be a probabilistic space, which will be presumed sufficiently rich when we construct examples (counterexamples), $\xi(i,1), \xi(i,2), \ldots, \xi(i,n),\ n \le \infty$ being a *family* of a centered $(\mathbf{E}\xi(i,m) = 0, i = 1,2,\ldots,n)$ martingale-differences on the basis of the fixed *flow* of $\sigma-$ fields (filtration) $F(i) : F(0) = \{\emptyset, \Omega\},\ F(i) \subset F(i+1) \subset F,\ \xi(i,0) := 0;\ \mathbf{E}|\xi(i,m)| < \infty$, and for every $i \ge 0,\ \forall k = 0,1,\ldots,i-1\ \Rightarrow$

$$\mathbf{E}\xi(i,m)/F(k) = 0;\ \mathbf{E}\xi(i,m)/F(i) = \xi(i,m)\ (\mathrm{mod}\ \mathbf{P}). \tag{1.0}$$

Let also $I = I(n) = I(d;n) = \{i_1; i_2; \ldots; i_d\}$ be the set of indices of the form $I(n) = I(d;n) = \{\vec{i}\} = \{i\} = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d\}$ such that $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < i_{d-1} < i_d \le n$; $J = J(n) = J(d;n)$ be the set of indices of the form

(subset of $I(d;n)$) $J(d;n) = J(n) = \{\vec{j}\} = \{j\} = \{j_1; j_2; \dots; j_{d-1}\}$ such that $1 \le j_1 < j_2 \dots < j_{d-1} \le n-1$; $b(i) = \{b(i_1; i_2; \dots i_d)\}$ be a d dimensional numerical non-random sequence symmetrical relative to all argument permutations,

$$\vec{i} \in I \ \Rightarrow \xi(\vec{i}) \stackrel{def}{=} \prod_{s=1}^{d} \xi(i_s, s); \tag{1.1a}$$

$$\vec{j} \in J \ \Rightarrow \xi(\vec{j}) \stackrel{def}{=} \prod_{s=1}^{d-1} \xi(i_s, s); \tag{1.1b}$$

$$\sigma^2(i_k, s) := \mathrm{Var}(\xi_{i_k,s}),$$

$$\sigma^2(\vec{i}) := \prod_{s=1}^{d} \sigma^2(i_s, s), \ \vec{i} = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d\} \in I;$$

$$\sigma^2(n, \vec{j}) := \prod_{s=1}^{d-1} \sigma^2(j_s, s), \ \vec{j} = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{d-1}\} \in J;$$

$$Q_d = Q(d, n, \{\xi(\cdot)\}) = Q(d, n) = Q(d, n, \vec{b}) = \sum_{\vec{i} \in I(d,n)} b(\vec{i}) \xi(\vec{i}) \tag{1.2}$$

being a homogeneous polynomial (random polynomial) of power d on the random variables $\xi(\cdot, \cdot)$ "without diagonal members", (on the other words, multiply stochastic integral over discrete stochastic martingale measure), n be an integer number: $n = 1, 2, \dots$, in the case $n = \infty$ we will understood $Q(d, \infty)$ as a limit $Q(d; \infty) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Q(d; n)$, if there exists with probability one.

Here $b = \vec{b} = b(i), \ i \in I(d, n)$ be arbitrary non-random numerical sequence, and we denote

$$||b||^2 = \sum_{i \in I(d,n)} b^2(i); \ b \in B \qquad \Leftrightarrow ||b|| = 1. \tag{1.2b}$$

We will denote also in the simple case when $\vec{b} = \vec{b}_d = \vec{1} = \{1, 1, \dots, 1\}$ $d-$ times

$$R(d) = Q(d, n, \vec{1}) = \sum_{\vec{i} \in I(d,n)} \xi(\vec{i}). \tag{1.3}$$

It is obvious that the sequence $(Q(d;n); F(n)); n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, is a martingale ("polynomial martingale").

The case of non-homogeneous polynomial is considered analogously.

We denote as usually the $L(p)$ norm of the r.v. η as follows:

$$|\eta|_p = \left[\mathbf{E}|\eta|^p\right]^{1/p}, \ p > 2;$$

the case $p = 2$ is trivial for us.

We will derive the moment estimations of a Khintchine form

$$U(p;d,n) = U(p) \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{b \in B} |Q(d,n,b,\{\xi(\cdot)\})|_p \le \overline{Q}(p;d,n) = \overline{Q}(p)$$

for martingale (and following in the independent case) in the terms of unconditional moments, more exactly, in the $L(p)$ norms of summands:

$$\mu_m(p) \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_i |\xi(i,m)|_p. \tag{1.4}$$

Denote also in the martingale case

$$V(p) = V_d(p) \stackrel{def}{=} \prod_{m=1}^{d} \mu_m(d \cdot p). \tag{1.5a}$$

and for the independent variables $\{\xi(i,m)\}$

$$W(p) = W_d(p) \stackrel{def}{=} \prod_{m=1}^{d} \mu_m(p). \tag{1.5b}$$

Note that if all the functions $p \to \mu_m(p),\ m = 1,2,\ldots,d$ are regular varying:

$$\sup_{p \ge 2} [\mu_m(d \cdot p)/\mu_m(p)] < \infty,$$

then $V(p) \asymp W(p)$.

As we knew, the previous result in this direction is obtained in the article [16]:

$$U(p;d,n) \le C_1(d) \cdot p^d \ V(p),$$

and in the independent case, i.e. when all the (two-dimensional indexed) centered r.v. $\xi(i,m)$ are common independent,

$$U(p;d,n) \le C_2(d) \cdot (p^d / \ln p) \cdot W(p),\ p \ge 2.$$

We intend to improve both these estimates to the following non-improvable as $p \to \infty$:

$$U(p;d,n) \le \gamma(d) \cdot \frac{p^d}{(\ln p)^d} \cdot V_d(p), \tag{1.6a}$$

in general (martingale) case and

$$U(p;d,n) \le \kappa(d) \cdot \frac{p^d}{(\ln p)^d} \cdot W_d(p), \tag{1.6b}$$

for the independent variables; it will be presumed obviously the finiteness of $V(p)$ and $W(p)$.

There are many works about this problem; the next list is far from being complete: [1], [2], [4], [5], [6], [7], [10], [12], [13], [14], [17], [18], [20], [22], [21] etc.

See also the reference therein.

Notice that in the articles [10], [12], [21] and in many others are described some new applications of these estimates: in the theory of dynamical system, in the theory of polymers etc.

2 Main result: moment estimation for polynomial martingales.

We must describe some new notations. The following function was introduced by A.Osekowski (up to factor 2) in the article [13]:

$$Os(p) \stackrel{def}{=} 4\sqrt{2} \cdot \left(\frac{p}{4}+1\right)^{1/p} \cdot \left(1+\frac{p}{\ln(p/2)}\right). \tag{2.1}$$

Note that

$$K = K_{Os} \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{p \geq 4} \left[\frac{Os(p)}{p/\ln p}\right] \approx 15.7858, \tag{2.2}$$

the so-called Osekowski's constant.

Let us define the following numerical sequence $\gamma(d),\ d = 1,2,\ldots :\ \gamma(1) := K_{Os} = K$, (initial condition) and by the following recursion

$$\gamma(d+1) = \gamma(d) \cdot K_{Os} \cdot \left(1+\frac{1}{d}\right)^d. \tag{2.3}$$

Since

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{d}\right)^d \leq e,$$

we conclude

$$\gamma(d) \leq K_{Os}^d \cdot e^{d-1},\ d = 1,2,\ldots. \tag{2.4}$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let the sequence $\gamma(d)$ be defined in (2.3). Then*

$$U(p;d,n) \leq \gamma(d) \cdot \frac{p^d}{(\ln p)^d} \cdot V_d(p) = \gamma(d) \cdot \frac{p^d}{(\ln p)^d} \cdot \prod_{m=1}^{d} \mu_m(d\ p). \tag{2.5}$$

Proof.

0. We will use the induction method over the "dimension" d, as in the article of authors [16], starting from the value $d = 1$.

1. One dimensional case $d = 1$. We apply the celebrate result belonging to A.Osekowski [13]:

$$\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_k\right|_p \le C_{Os}(p) \cdot \left\{ \left| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\xi_k^2 / F(k-1) \right)^{1/2} \right|_p + \left| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |\xi_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \right|_p \right\} \stackrel{def}{=} \tag{2.6}$$

$$C_{Os}(p) \left\{ S_1(p) + S_2(p) \right\}, \tag{2.6a}$$

in our notations; $\xi_k = \xi(1,k),\ F(0) = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$. The variable

$$\theta(n) := \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\xi_k^2 / F(k-1) \right)^{1/2}, \tag{2.7}$$

so that $S_1(p) = |\theta(n)|_p$, is named in [13] by "conditional square function" of our martingale and the variable $\theta^2(n)$ – by "quadratic (predictable) characteristic" in the review [20].

We deduce using Iensen and triangle inequalities taking into account the restriction $p \ge 4$:

$$\theta^2(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\xi_k^2 / F(k-1),$$

$$|\theta^2(n)|_{p/2} \le \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\ \mathbf{E}\xi_k^2 / F(k-1)\ |_{p/2} \le \sum_k |\xi_k|_p^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k^2(p). \tag{2.8}$$

Since

$$|\theta^2(n)|_{p/2} = |\theta(n)|_p^2,$$

we ascertain

$$S_1(p) = |\theta(n)|_p \le \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k^2(p)}. \tag{2.9}$$

Let us estimate now the value $S_2(p)$. This evaluate is simple:

$$S_2^p(p) = \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_k |\xi_k|^p \right) = \sum_k |\xi_k|_p^p = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k^p(p), \tag{2.10}$$

$$S_2(p) \le \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k^p(p) \right)^{1/p} \le \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k^2(p)}. \tag{2.11}$$

Thus,

$$\left|\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_k\ \right|_p \le K_{Os} \cdot \frac{p}{\ln p} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k^2(p)}. \tag{2.12}$$

2. Since the sequence $\{b(i)\}$ is non-random, the random sequence $\{\xi^{(b)}(k)\} := \{b(k) \cdot \xi(k)\}$ is also a sequence of martingale differences relative at the same filtration. We apply the last inequality (2.11) for the martingale differences $\{\xi^{(b)}(k)\}$:

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} b(k)\xi_k \right|_p \le K_{Os} \cdot \frac{p}{\ln p} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} b^2(k)\mu_k^2(p)}, \tag{2.13}$$

and we obtain after taking supremum over $\vec{b} \in B$:

$$U(p;1,n) = \sup_{b \in B} | \ Q(1,n,b,\{\xi(\cdot)\}) \ |_p \le K_{Os} \cdot \frac{p}{\ln p} \cdot \sup_k \mu_k(p),$$

or equally

$$U(p;1,n) \le \gamma(1) \cdot \frac{p}{\ln p} \cdot V_1(p). \tag{2.14}$$

3. Remark 2.1. We deduce as a particular case choosing in (2.13) $b(k) = 1/\sqrt{n}$:

$$n^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_k \right|_p \le K_{Os} \cdot \frac{p}{\ln p} \cdot \sqrt{n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k^2(p)}, \tag{2.15}$$

which is some generalization of the classical Rosenthal's inequality on the martingale case and in turn is a slight simplification of the A.Osekovski result.

In turn,

$$\sup_n \left[n^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_k \right|_p \right] \le K_{Os} \cdot \frac{p}{\ln p} \cdot \sup_k \mu_k(p). \tag{2.15b}$$

4. Induction step $d \to d+1$ is completely analogous to one in the article [17], section 3, and may be omitted.

5. Remark 2.2; an example. Suppose $d = \mathrm{const} \ge 2, \ n \ge d+1$. We deduce as a particular case choosing

$$b(\vec{i}) = 1/\sqrt{n(n-1)\dots(n-d+1)} \sim n^{-d/2} : \qquad n^{-d/2}|R(d)|_p =$$

$$n^{-d/2}|Q(d,n,\vec{1})|_p = n^{-d/2} \left| \sum_{\vec{i} \in I(d,n)} \xi(\vec{i}) \right|_p \le C(d) \cdot \frac{p^d}{\ln^d p} \cdot \left[\sup_{i,m} \mu_{i,m}(p) \right]^d. \tag{2.16}$$

3 Independent case.

The reasoning is basically at the same as in the last section. We will use the famous Rosenthal's inequality (more exactly, a consequence of this inequality) [23] instead the Osekowski's estimate:

$$n^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_k \right|_p \le K_R \cdot \frac{p}{\ln p} \cdot \sqrt{n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k^2(p)}, \tag{3.1}$$

where now $\{\xi_k\}$ is the sequence of the centered independent random variables with finite p^{th} moment, K_R is the Rosenthal's constant. This estimate is non-improvable.

The exact value of this constant is obtained in [19]:

$$K_R \approx 1.77638/e \approx 0.6535.$$

Define the announced sequence $\kappa = \kappa(d),\ d = 1, 2, \ldots$ as follows: $\kappa(1) := K_R$ and by the following recursion

$$\kappa(d+1) = \kappa(d) \cdot K_{Os} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{d}\right)^d. \tag{3.2}$$

Since

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{d}\right)^d \le e,$$

we conclude

$$\kappa(d) \le K_R \cdot (K_{Os} \cdot e)^{d-1},\ d = 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{3.3}$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let the sequence $\kappa(d)$ be defined in (3.3). Then in the considered here independent case*

$$U(p; d, n) \le \kappa(d) \cdot \frac{p^d}{(\ln p)^d} \cdot W_d(p) = \kappa(d) \cdot \frac{p^d}{(\ln p)^d} \cdot \prod_{m=1}^{d} \mu_m(p). \tag{3.4}$$

Remark 3.1. Let us emphasise the difference between martingale and independent cases. This difference is except the coefficient but in the factors $V_d(p) = \prod_{m=1}^{d} \mu_m(d \cdot p)$ and $W_d(p) = \prod_{m=1}^{d} \mu_m(p)$.

It is clear that there are many examples when $W_d(p) < \infty$ but $V_d(p) = \infty$.

4 Exponential bounds for tails of polynomial martingales.

We intend in in this section to obtain the *exponential* bounds for tails of distribution for the r.v. $Q(d,n)$ through its (obtained) moments estimates. We can consider only the martingale case (section 2).

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that the described below sequence of the mean zero martingale differences $\{\xi(i,m)\}$ satisfies the restriction

$$\sup_{i,m} \max(\mathbf{P}(\xi(i,m) \ge x), \mathbf{P}(\xi(i,m) \le -x)) \le \exp\left(-C_1 x^q \ (\ln x)^{-q\ r}\right), \tag{4.1}$$

$$x > e, \ C_1 = \mathrm{const} > 0, \ q = \mathrm{const} > 0, \ r = \mathrm{const}\,. \tag{4.1a}$$

Then

$$\sup_{b \in B} \max(\mathbf{P}(Q(d,n,b) \ge x), \mathbf{P}(Q(d,n,b) \le -x)) \le$$

$$\exp\left\{-C_2 \ x^{q/(dq+1)} \ (\ln x)^{-q(r-d)/(dq+1)}\right\}, \ x > e. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. It follows from the theory of the so-called Grand Lebesgue spaces [9], [15], chapter 1, section 1.8, [17] that the inequality (4.1) is equivalent to the finiteness of the following norm

$$\sup_{i,m} \sup_{p \ge 4} \left[|\xi(i,m)|_p \cdot p^{-1/q} \cdot \log^{-r} p \right] = C_3 < \infty, \tag{4.3}$$

or equally

$$\sup_{i,m} |\xi(i,m)|_p \le C_3 \ p^{1/q} \ \log^r p. \tag{4.3a}$$

We apply the theorem 2.1:

$$\sup_{b \in B} |Q(d,n,b)|_p \le C_4 \ p^{d+1/q} \ [\log p]^{r-d},$$

which is in turn equivalent to the proposition (4.2).

Note that other exponential bounds for tail of distribution for the r.v. $Q(d,n,b)$ under some additional conditions is obtained in [16].

5 Concluding remarks.

A. Examples of lower estimates.

Denote in the independent case

$$K_I(p;d) = \sup_n \sup_{b \in B} \sup_{\xi(i,m): |\xi(m,i)|_p < \infty} \left[\frac{Q(d,p)}{\prod_{m=1}^d \mu_m(p)} \right]. \tag{5.1}$$

where the last " sup " is calculated over all the sequences of the centered *independent* variables $\{\xi(i,m)\}$ satisfying the condition $|\xi(i,m)|_p < \infty$. We obtained

$$K_I(p;d) \le \frac{C_0(d)\ p^d}{\ln^d p},\ C_0(d) = \mathrm{const} > 0.$$

Our new statement:

$$K_I(p;d) \ge \frac{C(d)\ p^d}{\ln^d p},\ C(d) = \mathrm{const} > 0. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof is very simple. The moment estimations are derived in [8] for the symmetrical polynomials on mean zero independent identical symmetrically distributed variables, i.e. particular case for us, for which it is proved that

$$\frac{|Q(d,n)|_p}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}\, Q(d,n)}\ \mu^d(p)} \ge \frac{C_1(d)\ p^d}{\ln^d p},\ C_1(d) = \mathrm{const} > 0. \tag{5.3}$$

Another a more simple example. Let $n = 1$ and a r.v. η has a Poisson distribution with unit parameter:

$$\mathbf{P}(\eta = k) = e^{-1}/k!,$$

and define $\xi = \eta - 1$, then the r.v. ξ is centered and

$$p \to \infty \Rightarrow |\xi|_p \sim p/(e \cdot \ln p).$$

Let also $\xi_j,\ j = 1, 2, \ldots$ be independent copies of ξ. Then

$$\left| \prod_{j=1}^d \xi_j \right|_p \sim e^{-d} \frac{p^d}{(\ln p)^d},\ p \to \infty. \tag{5.4}$$

B. Estimations for normed variables.

Denote

$$\Psi(b) = \Psi(d,n,b) = \mathrm{Var}(Q(d,n,b)),\ b \in B, \tag{5.5}$$

and impose the following condition on the martingale distribution

$$0 < C_1(d) \le \sup_n \sup_{b \neq 0} \left[\frac{\Psi(d,n,b)}{||b||^2} \right] \le C_2(d) < \infty. \tag{5.6}$$

This condition was introduced and investigated in [16].

We define also a so - called *relative moments* for the r.v. $\{\xi(i,m)\}$ under the *natural norming:*

$$\tilde{\mu}_m(p) \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_i \left| \xi(i,m) / \sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(\xi(i,m))} \right|_p, \tag{5.7}$$

Denote also in the martingale case

$$\tilde{V}(p) = \tilde{V}_d(p) \stackrel{def}{=} \prod_{m=1}^{d} \tilde{\mu}_m(d \cdot p). \tag{5.8a}$$

and for the independent variables $\{\xi(i,m)\}$

$$\tilde{W}(p) = \tilde{W}_d(p) \stackrel{def}{=} \prod_{m=1}^{d} \tilde{\mu}_m(p). \tag{5.8b}$$

We will derive as before the moment estimations of a form

$$\tilde{U}(p;d,n) = \tilde{U}(p) \stackrel{def}{=}$$

$$\sup_{b \in B} \left| Q(d,n,b,\{\xi(\cdot)\}) / \sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(Q(d,n,b,\{\xi(\cdot)\}))} \right|_p \le$$

$$\tilde{Q}(p;d,n) = \tilde{Q}(p). \tag{5.9}$$

Namely,

$$\tilde{U}(p;d,n) \le \gamma(d) \cdot \frac{p^d}{(\ln p)^d} \cdot \tilde{V}_d(p), \tag{5.10a}$$

in general (martingale) case and

$$\tilde{U}(p;d,n) \le \kappa(d) \cdot \frac{p^d}{(\ln p)^d} \cdot \tilde{W}_d(p), \tag{5.10b}$$

for the independent variables; it will be presumed of course the finiteness of the variables $\tilde{V}(p)$ and $\tilde{W}(p)$.

Authors hope that the last two estimates are more convenient for the practical using.

C. Possible generalizations.

It is interest by our opinion to generalize our estimates on the *predictable* sequence $b(\vec{i})$. A preliminary (one-dimensional) result in this direction see in the article [14]; see also [3].

References

[1] D. L. Burkholder. *Distribution Functions Inequalities for Martingales.* Ann. Probab., **1**, (1973), 19-42.

[2] D. L. Burkholder. *Explorations in Martingale Theory and its Applications.* Ecole d'Ete de Probabilities de Saint-Flour XIX, 1989, pp. 1-66, Lecture Notes in Math., 1464, Springer, Berlin, 1991.

[3] Choi K.P. *Some sharp inequalities for martingale transform.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 307, No 1, (1988), 279-300, MR0936817.

[4] Fan X., Grama I, and Liu Q. *Large deviations for martingales with exponential condition.* arXiv:1111.1407 [math.PR] 6 Nov 2011.

[5] Grama I.G. *On moderate deviations for martingales.* Ann. Probab. Volume 25, Number 1, (1997), 152-183.

[6] Grama I.G. and Haeusler E. (2000.) *Large deviations for martingales via Cramers method.* Stochastic Process. Appl. 85, 279293.

[7] P. Hitczenko. *Best constants in martingale version of Rosenthal inequality.* Ann. Probab. 18 No. 4 (1990), 1656-1668.

[8] Kallenberg Olav and Sztencel Rafal. *Some dimension-free features of vector-valued martingales.* Probability Theory Related Fields. 1991, **88,** 215-247.

[9] Kozatchenko Yu. V., Ostrovsky E.I. *The Banach Spaces of random Variables of subgaussian type.* Theory of Probab. and Math. Stat. (in Russian). Kiev, KSU, 32, 43-57, (1985).

[10] Lesign E., Volny D. *Large deviations for martingales.* Stochastic Processes and their Applications. **96,** 143-159. (2001).

[11] Li Y. (2003.) *A martingale inequality and large deviations.* Statist. Probab. Lett., **62,** 317-321.

[12] Liu Q., Watbled F. *Exponential inequalities for martingales and asymptotic properties of the free energy of directed polymers in random environment.* arXiv:0812.1719v1 [math.PR] 9 Dec 2008.

[13] Osekowski A. *A Note on Burkholder-Rosenthal Inequality.* Bull. Polish Academy of Science, Math., **60**, (2012), 177-185.

[14] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Moment and tail estimates for martingales and martingale transform, with application to the martingale limit theorem in Banach spaces.* arXiv:1206.4964v1 [math.PR] 21 Jun 2012

[15] Ostrovsky E.I. *Exponential estimations for Random Fields and its applications.* (in Russian). 1999, Moskow-Obninsk, Russia, OINPE.

[16] Ostrovsky E. *Bide-side exponential and moment inequalities for tails of distribution of Polynomial Martingales.* arXiv: math.PR/0406532 v.1 Jun. 2004

[17] Ostrovsky E. and Sirota L. *Moment and tail inequalities for polynomial martingales. The case of heavy tails.* arXiv:1112.2768v1 [math.PR] 13 Dez 2011

[18] Ostrovsky E. and Sirota L. *Non-improved uniform tail estimates for normed sums of independent random variables with heavy tails, with applications.* arXiv:1110.4879v1 [math.PR] 21 Oct 2011.

[19] Ostrovsky E. and Sirota L. *Schlömilch and Bell series for Bessel's functions, with probabilistic applications.* arXiv:0804.0089v1 [math.CV] 1 Apr 2008

[20] Peshkir G., Shirjaev A.N. *The Khintchine inequalities and martingale expanding sphere of their action.* Russian Math. Surveys; **50,** 5, 849-904, (1995).

[21] Volny D. *Approximating martingales and the central limit theorem for strictly stationary processes.* Stoch. processes ant their applic., **44**, (1993), 41-74.

[22] Ratchkauskas A. *Large deviations for martingales with some applications.* Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, Volume 38, Number 1 (1995), 109-129, DOI: 10.1007/BF00992617

[23] Rosenthal H.P. *On the Subspaces of L_p, $(p > 2)$ spanned by Sequences of independent Variables.* Israel J. Math., 1970, V.3, pp. 253-273.